

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/715,050	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SHERWOOD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Shane Bomar	<b>Art Unit</b> 3672	

**All Participants:**

(1) Shane Bomar.

(2) Jeffrey Gunn.
**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 January 2006
**Time:** 11am
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

20-31

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I contacted Attorney Gunn because the amendments to claims 1-19 placed said claims in condition for allowance. However, claims 20-31 (withdrawn due to a restriction requirement) were still present in the application and Mr. Gunn had presented arguments attempting to traverse the restriction requirement. I stated that the claims are directed to methods for manufacturing bits, which are examined by a different Art Unit in the office, and I would therefore have to uphold the restriction requirement. Mr. Gunn agreed to have the withdrawn claims cancelled via an Examiner's Amendment, while the Applicant maintains the right to pursue the cancelled claims in a Divisional Application.